



LEGAL  
PROFESSION  
BOARD OF  
TASMANIA

Level 3, 147 Macquarie Street  
Hobart 7000  
GPO Box 2335 Hobart 7001

### REGISTER OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Pursuant to section 497 (1) of the *Legal Profession Act 2007*, there is to be a register (to be known as the "Register of Disciplinary Action").

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Ian Alfred Creese	Disciplinary Tribunal	168 Collins Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	On 7 May 2009, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of professional misconduct, ordered that the practitioner pay a fine of \$10,000; and pay the Society's costs of the application agreed in the sum of \$4,125.00.	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 1.	Mr Ian Alfred Creese was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 27 August 1985.
Brett Trafford	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	27 Cattley Street, Burnie, TAS	Tasmania	On 12 August 2009, the Legal Profession Board found in allegation 2 of the complaint that the Practitioner is guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct pursuant to s.456 (7) (a) of the Act and cautioned the practitioner.	Determination 42 of 2009	Mr Brett Trafford was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 3 September 1999.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Peter Griffiths	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	1st Floor, 81 Salamanca Place, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	On 6 October 2009, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct pursuant to s.456 (7) of the Act.	Determination 55 of 2009	Mr Peter Griffiths was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 14 April 1969.
Aloysius Tsze Kan Wong	Disciplinary Tribunal	149B Macquarie Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	On 7 December 2009, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of professional misconduct, ordered that the practitioner pay a fine of \$5,000 suspended for 3 years; and pay the Society's costs of the application agreed in the sum of \$18,858.00.00.	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 2.	Mr Aloysius Wong was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 18 March 1975
Christopher Andrew Young	Disciplinary Tribunal	62 Stewart Street Devonport, TAS	Tasmania	On 19 November 2009, in relation to the first complaint the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and reprimanded the practitioner. In relation to the second complaint the Tribunal found the practitioner guilty of professional misconduct and ordered the practitioner to pay a fine of \$2,000.00 and pay the Law Society's costs and expenses.	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 3.	Mr Christopher Andrew Young was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 9 August 2002.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Denis Danaher	Disciplinary Tribunal	Current address unknown	Tasmania	On 11 March 2010, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of unprofessional conduct, reprimanded the practitioner and fined him \$2,500.00. The Tribunal further ordered that the Practitioner pay the Legal Profession Board's costs of \$1,500.00	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 4.	Mr Denis Danaher was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 12 August 2003
Wayne Olding	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	13 Cremorne Ave, Cremorne, TAS	Tasmania	On 24 August 2010, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct on 2 counts of the complaint pursuant to s.456 (7) of the Act and reprimanded the practitioner.	Determination 35 of 2010	Mr Wayne Nicholas Olding was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 9 August 2002.
Ian Charles Guest	Disciplinary Tribunal	75 Wilson Street, Burnie, TAS	Tasmania	On 26 August 2010 the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of professional misconduct, fined the Practitioner \$4,000, \$2,000 of which was suspended on condition he complies with all directions of the Board for the next three years and that the Practitioner pays the Board's costs of \$500.	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 5.	Mr Ian Charles Guest was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 12 February 1979.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Steven Chopping	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	131 Macquarie Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	On 13 October 2010, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct, pursuant to s.456 (7) of the Act reprimanded the Practitioner and ordered that the Practitioner make reparation to the Complainant in the sum of \$106.00.	Determination 40 of 2010	Mr Steven Chopping was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 1 February 1972.
Arthur David Pearce	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	Franklin Street, Swansea, TAS	Tasmania	On 23 November 2010, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct, pursuant to s.456 (7) the Practitioner was reprimanded and ordered to provide a written apology to the Complainant.	Determination 49 of 2010	Mr Arthur David Pearce was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 2 April 1974.
Christopher John Bartlett	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	63B Wilson Street, Burnie, TAS	Tasmania	On 23 November 2010, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct, pursuant to s.456 (7) the Practitioner was reprimanded and ordered to provide a written apology to the Complainant.	Determination 50 of 2010	Mr Christopher John Bartlett was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 15 February 1978.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Joanne Frances Matthews	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	On 15 December 2010 the Supreme Court of Tasmania ordered that Joanne Frances Matthews be removed from the roll of legal practitioners.	Supreme Court TASSC 60	Ms Joanne Frances Matthews was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 13 September 1991.
Jimmy Saric	Disciplinary Tribunal	54 Molle Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	On 19 April 2011, the Disciplinary Tribunal suspended the Practitioner's local practicing certificate, pursuant to S.471 (b), effective from 10 June 2011 until the expiration of the sentence of imprisonment imposed upon him on 9 <sup>th</sup> October 2009 and ordered that the Practitioner pay the Board's costs.	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 6.	Mr Jimmy Saric was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 13 August 2004
Rebecca Jayne Brown	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	110 Tamar Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	On 7 June 2011, the Legal Profession Board, found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and pursuant to section 456 (7) (a) of the Act, cautioned the Practitioner and pursuant to section 456 (7) (c) ordered the Practitioner to make undertakings to the Board as agreed.	Determination 14 of 2011	Ms Rebecca Brown was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 12 September 1997

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Rebecca Jayne Brown	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	110 Tamar Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	On 7 June 2011, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and pursuant to section 454 (2) (a), (b), and (m) of the Act the Practitioner was reprimanded, ordered to pay a fine of \$2,500 and pay the Board's costs of \$2,012.	Determination 16 of 2011	Ms Rebecca Brown was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 12 September 1997.
Peter Warmbrunn	Disciplinary Tribunal		Tasmania	On 18 November 2011, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of professional misconduct and made the following orders: 1. A recommendation that the Supreme Court remove the name of the practitioner from the local roll. 2. That the practitioner's local practising certificate be suspended pending the decision of the Supreme court as to acceding or otherwise to our recommendation.	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 7.	Mr Peter Warmbrunn was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 3 September 1993.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Peter Warmbrunn	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	On 19 December 2011, the Supreme Court ordered that the name of the respondent be removed from the local roll of practitioners	Judgment Reason for Judgement Legal Profession Board of Tasmania v W [2011] TASSC67	Mr Peter Warmbrunn was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 3 September 1993.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Nigel Rutherford Henry	Disciplinary Tribunal	9 Victoria Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	<p>On 12 December 2011, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and made the following orders:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the respondent be reprimanded.</li> <li>2. That within 90 days of 12 December 2011 the respondent be required to apply to the Supreme Court of Tasmania pursuant to the <i>Administration and Probate Act 1935, s 64</i>, for the fixing of the costs, if any, payable to him as executor of the estate of Rodney Dorothy Coleman.</li> <li>3. That within 30 days of the above proceeding the respondent notify the Board of the outcome of such proceedings or appeals.</li> <li>4. That the respondent pay the Board \$11,400.00 in legal costs within 60 days of 12 December 2011.</li> </ol>	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 8.	Mr Nigel Henry was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 16 June 1962.



NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Ms Jennifer Trafford	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania		Tasmania	<p>On 17 April 2012, the Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct, and made the following determinations under s.454 (2) of the Act:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Practitioner is strongly reprimanded for the poor quality of the legal services provided by her to the Complainant in connection with the Complainant's entitlements to the estate of the late Con Donnelly and in connection with the claim for costs made against the Complainant in relation to her Testators Family Maintenance action.</li> <li>2. The Practitioner is to waive in its entirety the unpaid balance of all fees charged to the Complainant for the above legal services.</li> <li>3. The Practitioner is for three years from the date of this determination prohibited from acting as a legal practitioner otherwise than in the course of employment by or under the supervision of an Australian</li> </ol>	<p>Determination 18 of 2012</p> <p>Determination 19 of 2012</p>	<p>Ms Jennifer Trafford was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 5 November 2003.</p>

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
				<p>legal practitioner satisfactory to the Board holding an unrestricted practising certificate.</p> <p>4. The Practitioner is to pay a fine of 25 penalty units, wholly suspended on the condition that the Practitioner is not within three years from the date of this determination found guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct of a serious nature or of professional misconduct, by this Board or its equivalent in any other Australian jurisdiction.</p> <p>5. The Practitioner is to pay towards the costs incurred by the Board in investigating and hearing the complaint the sum of \$3,511.71, such payment to be made on or before the 30th April 2013.</p>		

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Kathryn Layton Walker	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	Level 3, 113 Cimitiere Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	On 24 July 2012, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct. Pursuant to s.456 (7) of the Act, the Practitioner was cautioned and required to make a fulsome apology to the Complainant and her immediate family.	Determination 30 of 2012	Ms Kathryn Walker was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 15 August 2003.
William Douglas Edwards	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	Level 3, 113 Cimitiere Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	On 24 July 2012, the Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct. Pursuant to s.454 (2) of the Act, the Practitioner was reprimanded; ordered to repay a sum of \$1,719.10 to the Complainant; and ordered to pay the Board's costs of \$3,237.33.	Determination 31 of 2012 Determination 32 of 2012	Mr William Edwards was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 10 September 1993.
Lee James Edwards	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	28A King Edward Street Ulverstone, TAS	Tasmania	On 21 August 2012, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct. Pursuant to s.456 (7) of the Act, the Practitioner was reprimanded and required to make an apology to the Complainant.	Determination 42 of 2012	Mr Lee Edwards was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 10 August 2001.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Benjamin John Lillas	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	89 Oldaker Street, Devonport, TAS	Tasmania	On 12 November 2013, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct. Pursuant to s.454 (2) (a) of the Act, the Practitioner was reprimanded.	Determination 43 of 2013	Mr Benjamin Lillas was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 4 September 1984.
Craig Gerald Rainbird	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	Level 3, 85 Macquarie Street, Hobart TAS	Tasmania	On 14 March 2014, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct. Pursuant to s.454 (2) (a) of the Act, the Practitioner was reprimanded; ordered to pay a fine of \$2,000 by 30 April 2014; ordered to provide an apology to the Complainant; and to pay the Board's costs of \$9,900 by 30 April 2014.	Determination 15 of 2014 Determination 16 of 2014	Mr Craig Rainbird was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 26 August 1988.
Michael Foster	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	10 Victoria Street, Hobart TAS	Tasmania	On 18 March 2014, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct.	Determination 18 of 2014	Mr Michael Foster was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 12 February 1979.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Richard Kent Ruddle	Disciplinary Tribunal	30 Cattley Street, Burnie TAS	Tasmania	<p>On 19 August 2014, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of professional misconduct and made the following orders:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The practitioner is fined \$4,000.00.</li> <li>2. The fine is wholly suspended on condition that there is no similar failure by the practitioner to comply with any reasonable requirement of the Board for 18 months.</li> <li>3. The practitioner is to pay the Board's costs of \$1,000.00 within 30 days of 19 August 2014.</li> </ol>	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 9.	Mr Richard Ruddle was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 4 February 1963.
Gregory Brian O'Rourke	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	<p>On 23 September 2014, the Supreme Court ordered that the name of the respondent be removed from the local roll of legal practitioners</p>	Supreme Court No. 785 of 2014	Mr Gregory Brian O'Rourke was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 30 November 1994.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Christopher John Bartlett	Disciplinary Tribunal	63B Wilson Street, Burnie, TAS	Tasmania	<p>On 21 October 2014, the Disciplinary Tribunal found by consent the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and made the following orders:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the Practitioner is reprimanded.</li> <li>2. That within 14 days of service of this order upon him, the Practitioner is to pay to Mrs Chantal Williams the sum of \$330.00.</li> <li>3. The Practitioner is to pay the Board's costs in the sum of \$6,400.00</li> </ol>	Disciplinary Tribunal Consent Order	Mr Christopher John Bartlett was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 15 February 1978.
Darrell George Grey	Disciplinary Tribunal	105 Cameron Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	<p>On 11 August 2014, the Disciplinary Tribunal found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and made the following orders:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the Practitioner is admonished.</li> <li>2. That the Practitioner is to pay the Board's costs of the application in the sum of \$8,000.00</li> </ol>	Disciplinary Tribunal Determination 10	Mr Darrell George Grey was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 15 February 1982.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Richard Kent Ruddle	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	30 Cattley Street, Burnie TAS	Tasmania	On 21 October 2014, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct.	Determination 46 of 2014	Mr Richard Ruddle was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 4 February 1963.
Matthew Kent Goodman	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	On 8 December 2014, the Supreme Court ordered that the name of the respondent be removed from the local roll of legal practitioners	Supreme Court No. 974 of 2014	Mr Matthew Goodman was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 9 September 1994
Mohammed Sirajul Haque	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	On 19 February 2015, the Chief Justice was satisfied that the respondent's conduct amounted to unsatisfactory professional conduct and ordered that the respondent be suspended from practising as a legal practitioner in Tasmania and as a legal practitioner admitted in Tasmania for a period of two years; recommended that an interstate practising certificate not be granted to the respondent within the next 2 years; and the respondent pay the applicants costs of and incidental to the application.	Supreme Court No. 5 of 2015 Supreme Court Order No. 1135 of 2012	Mr Mohammed Sirajul Haque was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 7 June 2005.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
John Martin Green	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	10 Cameron Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	The Board finds that the practitioner's conduct constitutes unsatisfactory professional conduct. The practitioner is admonished pursuant to s.454 (2) (a), and ordered to pay the sum of \$5000 to the Board for its costs of and incidental to the complaint, pursuant to s.454 (2) (m).	Determination 4 of 2015 Determination 13 of 2015	Mr John Martin Green was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 25 June 1979.
Richard John Howroyd	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	119 Macquarie Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	The Board finds that the practitioner's conduct constitutes unsatisfactory professional conduct. The practitioner is cautioned pursuant to s.456 (7) (a), and ordered to issue an apology to the Complainant in terms approved by the Board; and undertake to implement such systems and procedures as are required to ensure his capacity to comply with section 316 of the Act, within three (3) months from the date of these determinations.	Determination 19 of 2015 Determination 20 of 2015	Mr Richard John Howroyd was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 1 February 1978.



NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Adrian John Hall	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	On 11 December 2015 the Supreme Court of Tasmanian ordered that Adrian John Hall be removed from the roll of legal practitioners.	Supreme Court Judgment No. 894 of 2015 Supreme Court Order No. 894 of 2015	Mr Adrian John Hall was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 20 August 1999.
Michael Anthony Trezise	Disciplinary Tribunal	59 Harrington Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	On 14 December 2015 the Disciplinary Tribunal determined that the conduct referred to in the Board's Application constitutes professional misconduct and the following orders were made by consent: 1. The Respondent is reprimanded; 2. The Respondent pay a fine of \$5,000.00 within 30 days of the date of the order; and 3. The Respondent pay the Applicant's costs in the sum of \$5,000.00 (inclusive of GST) within 30 days of the date of the order.	Disciplinary Tribunal Consent Orders No.:02 of 2015	Mr Michael Anthony Trezise was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 29 August 1986.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Surinder Kaur Mahindroo	Supreme Court of Tasmania		Tasmania	<p>On 21 January 2016, the Supreme Court found the Practitioner guilty of professional misconduct and made the following orders (in summary):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the practitioner's name be removed from the roll of legal practitioners;</li> <li>2. The practitioner pay compensation in the sum of \$23,010.78 for the benefit of Maureen Olive Frost;</li> <li>3. The practitioner pay compensation in the sum of \$30,000.00 to Sukhwinder Kaur;</li> <li>4. The practitioner pay the Board's costs of and incidental to the originating application and the Board's investigation of the complaints, such costs fixed in the sum of \$80,000.00.</li> </ol>	Supreme Court Matter No.: 1257 of 2015	Ms Surinder Kaur Mahindroo was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 12 September 1996.

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
Barbara Beckett	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania		Tasmania	<p>On 22 December 2015, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and made the following orders:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That pursuant to s.456 (7) (a), the Practitioner be reprimanded.</li> <li>2. That pursuant to s.456 (7) (b), the Practitioner by 14 January 2016 provide a draft apology to the Board for approval, and that an apology in writing in terms approved by the Board be then provided to the Complainant within 14 days of notification of the approved terms.</li> <li>3. That pursuant to s.456 (7) (d), the Practitioner make reparation to the Complainant in the sum to be notified by the Board, for the costs of obtaining a replacement of the title; provided the Practitioner has liberty to apply to the Board as to the amount, within 14 days of such notification.</li> <li>4. The finally settled sum to be paid to the complainant within 30 days of that notification or subsequent ruling by the Board as to the amount as the case may be.</li> </ol>	<p>Determination 01 of 2016</p> <p>Determination 02 of 2016</p>	<p>Ms Barbara Beckett was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 5 September 1986.</p>

NAME	SOURCE OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Court, Tribunal, Board)	BUSINESS ADDRESS	HOME JURISDICTION	PARTICULARS OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION TAKEN	DETERMINATION AND REASONS FOR DETERMINATION (WHERE AVAILABLE).	OTHER PARTICULARS PRESCRIBED BY THE REGULATIONS
James Collingwood Kitto	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	6 Cameron Street, Launceston, TAS	Tasmania	<p>On 6 June 2016, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and made the following orders:</p> <p>1. That pursuant to s.456 (7) (a), the Practitioner be reprimanded</p>	<p>Determination 04 of 2017</p> <p>Determination 05 of 2017</p>	Mr James Collingwood Kitto was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 8 March 1999.
Andrew Ivan Gaggin	Legal Profession Board of Tasmania	10 Victoria Street, Hobart, TAS	Tasmania	<p>On 26 April 2017, the Legal Profession Board found the Practitioner guilty of unsatisfactory professional conduct and on the 31 May 2017 made the following orders:</p> <p>1. Pursuant to s.454 (2) the Practitioner be admonished</p> <p>2. The Practitioner to pay the Board's costs of investigating and hearing the complaint fixed in the sum of \$15,000 within 30 days of the date of the determination.</p>	<p>Determination 13 of 2017</p> <p>Determination 21 of 2017</p> <p>Determination 22 of 017</p>	Mr Andrew Ivan Gaggin was first admitted to the legal profession by the Supreme Court of Tasmania on 30 August 1991.